The influence of starch addition on the quality of sour milk obtained with a probiotic culture
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Abstract
The research carried on in the lab phase aimed to establish the opportunities and the conditions of using starch as a thickening agent to produce sour milk. Therefore, experiments have been carried out regarding the influence of starch addition in doses of 3%, 5%, 7% and 10% on the rheological, physical-chemical, microbiological and sensorial properties of sour milk obtained with a starter culture of probiotic bacteria of Bifidobacterium infantis and Lactobacillus acidophilus. The rheological experiments have been carried out with the help of a capillary viscometer and the rheological and microbiological behavior of the analysed samples has been evaluated both through classic methods and with the help of automatic devices such as EcoMilk, Solaris. This research was carried out throughout the technological process and on the final products, the best results being registered for a dosage of 3% starch added during processing.
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1. Introduction
Fermented dairy products like sour milk are extremely popular worldwide due to their pleasant sensorial characteristics as well as to the potential they have in maintaining and improving the health of the consumer.

The identification of microorganisms responsible for fermentation and their use for preparing pure cultures has led to the development of numerous probiotic products that are healthy for the human body as they improve the intestinal microbial equilibrium. [1] The main bacterial stems used as probiotics, Lactobacillus acidophilus and various species of bifidobacteria are dominant organisms in the small intestine and in the human colon. [2] These microorganisms are important throughout the process of inhibiting the development of the pathogen bacteria by producing organic acids and bacteriocine and by deconjugating the biliary salts. [3, 4]

The texture is of great importance for the quality and customer acceptance of fermented dairy products. Thus, in order to produce them, a series of stabilizer additives are used (such as starch) in order to improve their structure and consistency. [5] The amount that should be added must be determined experimentally by each producer, taking into account the concrete factory conditions.

Therefore, we thought an analysis of the way in which the starch influences the physical chemical, rheological, microbiological and sensorial properties as well as the technological process and the quality of sour milk obtained with a probiotic culture, was extremely useful.

2. Materials and methods
For experiments there were chosen cow milk like raw material. The starter culture used for inoculation is DI-PROXYBA 986 delivered by Enzymes&Derivatives Romania. DI-PROXYBA 986 is a mesophilic culture which contains $6 \times 10^9$ cells of Bifidobacterium and $6 \times 10^9$...
**Lactobacillus acidophilus.** The producer recommends the use at 37°C of a dose of 5 UA/100 liters of milk. The block diagram used for producing sour milk is presented in figure 1.

```
Milk ↓
Starch Addition ↓
Homogenization: 60-70 °C ↓
Cooling the milk: 30-32°C ↓
Inoculation: EDR culture DI-PROXY YBA 986 0.125 U.A./500 ml milk ↓
Mixing: 20-30 min ↓
Packing ↓
Incubation: 8 h/30-32 °C pana la pH=4.5 ↓
Cooling: 2-8 °C ↓
Cold store: 2-8 °C
```

**Figure 1.** Block diagram of sour milk processing

Starting from the milk chosen for analysis, different doses of starch were used as follows:
- L₁ - control sample, no starch addition;
- L₂ - sample with 3% starch addition;
- L₃ - sample with 5% starch addition;
- L₄ - sample with 7% starch addition;
- L₅ - sample with 10% starch addition;

For the five samples obtained, the following aspects were analyzed: characterization of the sour milk products obtained from a sensorial, physical-chemical, rheological and microbiological point of view, the evolution of the fermentative process of the dairy products obtained during the cold store at 4°C for 5 days.

The quality assessment of the samples was performed by Romanian standards, using physical and chemical methods. Moisture content was determined by STAS 6344-88, pH by STAS 8201-82, acidity by SR ISO 11169.

The microbiological determinations were carried out with Solaris device and the rheological behaviour were carried out with a capillary viscometer with a diameter of 2 mm, a thermometer and a cylinder with a volume of 500 ml. The physical-chemical characteristics of milk and sour milk (fat content, protein substances, lactose, density) were carried out using EcoMilk device.

### 3. Results and discussions

In experiments was used a cow milk with 17°T acidity, 6.66 pH, 3.65% fat content, 28.8 g/cm³ density, 54.1 freezing point, 3.28% protein content and 8.14% dry substance.

During those experiments on started from the evolution of the fermentative process (figure 2).
Figure 2. The evolution of the fermentative capacity of the sour milk samples during fermentation (7 hours)

It is to be noticed that the acidity developed in the sour milk samples analyzed, has grown proportionally with the starch addition incorporated in the fabrication recipe.

At the same time, it has been noticed that the developed acidity has varied significantly between samples, reaching a maximum value in L5 (10% added starch), seven hours after the beginning the fermentative process (84˚T).

This fact is to be explained because the starch contains a certain amount of protein substances, which constitutes a source of nitrogen for bacteria, stimulating the lactic fermentation. At the same time, the starch has an acidity of ~2.5, which leads to a decrease of milk pH with 6.6-6.8.

The data in figure 2 reveal a clear increase of milk acidity proportionally with the fermentation time, which is to be explained by the fact that the lactic bacteria have produced a lactic fermentation through lactose hydrolyse in glucose and galactose. Glucose is afterwards decomposed in various ways in the lactic acid, which leads to a significant increase of milk acidity. This increase of acidity is correlated with the evolution of the number of microorganisms (lactic bacteria), which is to be seen in figure 3.

Figure 3. The curve indicating the increase of microorganisms for the sour milk samples with different quantities of starch added, compared to the control sample
Figure 3 shows that all the starch samples have a larger number of microorganisms than the control sample up to 15 hours of fermentation followed by a decrease due to the inhibition of the development of the acidifying microflora in the product. At the same time, the sample with 10% starch has the largest number of microorganisms.

From the rheological point of view, starch addition leads to an increase of sour milk viscosity proportionally with the starch dose added (figure 4).

![Figure 4. The variation of sour milk viscosity for different quantities of starch added.](image_url)

This fact is explainable, starch being a hydrocolloid that behaves like a pseudoplastic liquid which gives solutions with a viscosity that depends on the quantity of product incorporated. It creates a stability of watery dispersions for which the continual phase is water and the dispersed phase is solid or liquid and has the tendency to separate. Through starch addition, the viscosity of sour milk is increased and the tendency of destabilizing through components separation is reduced. The effect of improving the consistency of the samples became obvious when 3% starch was added for the quantity of milk used. Through the samples with starch addition, sour milk viscosity described a linear function, statistically significant up to a 5% incorporated quantity of added starch.

Therefore we can say that from a rheological point of view, the best dose of starch that should be added to sour milk in order to obtain significant rheological properties is 3-5%.

From a microbiological point of view, the data obtained do not vary significantly and it corresponds to the values given by the specialty literature (NTG: L1-3000, L2-3000, L3-3100, L4-3100, L5-3200).

The physical-chemical characteristics of the samples obtained determined by the EcoMilk are presented in table 1. One can notice that the values obtained for the 5 samples of sour milk are very close and they correspond to the values given by the specialty literature.

### Table 1. The physical-chemical characteristics of the sour milk samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>L2</th>
<th>L3</th>
<th>L4</th>
<th>L5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acidity</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat content%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry substance</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>8.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From a sensorial point of view, the characteristics presented in table 2 have been obtained. The best sensorial characteristics were reflected in sample L3 with 3% starch added during processing.

**Table 2.** The sensorial characteristics of the sour milk samples obtained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aspect and consistency</strong></td>
<td>Homogenous, fluid-viscous, with few fat content agglomeration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>Homogenous, viscous, without fat content agglomeration or proteic substances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>Homogenous, more viscous than L1, without fat content agglomeration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>Homogenous, more viscous than L3, without fat content agglomeration or proteic substances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>Homogenous, more viscous than L4, a little sedimented starch can be noticed, without fat content agglomeration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>Homogenous, more viscous than L4, more viscous with proteic substances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Taste and flavor</strong></td>
<td>Pleasant, flavored, slightly sour, without foreign taste or smell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>Pleasant, flavored, slightly sour, without foreign taste or smell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>Pleasant, flavored, slightly sour, with a slight taste of cereal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>Pleasant, flavored, sweet-sour flavor, with cereal smell, and a perceived taste of flour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>Pleasant, weak flavor smell and acute taste of flour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>Grey, homogenous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While cold stock the sour milk samples, we analysed the evolution of acidity and of the total number of germens for 5 days.

The continual fermentation leads to an increase of acidity as figure 5 shows.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** The evolution of the fermentative capacity of sour milk samples for 5 days, during cold store.

The increase of acidity is correlated with the evolution of the number of microorganisms (lactic bacteria), as figure 6 reveals. Due to the low pH, sour milk can become a selective environment for the development of some contamination.
microorganisms. Therefore we thought it was useful to determine NTG for the first five days of stock-piling the dairy products obtained. The values obtained for acidity and NTG for the five samples of sour milk obtained correspond to the values given by the specialty literature.

The values obtained for acidity and NTG for the five samples of sour milk correspond to the values given by the specialty literature.

4. Conclusions

From the rheological point of view, starch addition leads to an increase of sour milk viscosity proportionally with the starch dose added. Through the starch added samples, the viscosity of the product obtained revealed a linear function, statistically significant up to a 5% incorporated quantity of added starch. No significant rheological modifications were registered above this value.

From the microbiological point of view, the increase of acidity is correlated with the evolution of the number of microorganisms, the sample with 10% starch having the largest number of microorganisms up to 15 hours from the beginning of the fermentation process. After this period of time, a microbiological decrease follows due to the inhibition of the development of the acidifying microflora in the product. During 7 hours of fermentation, the evolution of the fermentative capacity varied significantly between samples, reaching a maximum value with L5 (10% added starch) seven hours after the beginning of the fermentative process (84˚T).

During cold-stock for 5 days, at refrigerating temperature, an increase of acidity was registered along with a microbiological load of the sour milk samples analyzed until reaching values that are in conformity with the current stats, which shows that all the products are consumable. From the sensorial point of view, the best sample was the one with 3% starch added in the recipe.

The physical-chemical, rheological, microbiological and sensorial results obtained show that the best starch dose that should be added in the recipe to obtain a high-quality sour milk is 3%.
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